arXiv:1605.01985v1 [math.AC] 6 May 2016

CW-RESOLUTIONS OF MONOMIAL IDEALS THAT ARE SUPPORTED ON FACE POSETS

DANIEL WOOD

Abstract. Given a monomial ideal I with minimal free resolution $\mathcal{F}$ supported in characteristic $p > 0$ on a CW-complex X with regular 2-skeleton, we construct a CW-complex Y that also supports $\mathcal{F}$ and such that the face poset $P(Y)$ also supports $\mathcal{F}$ in the sense of Clark and Tchernev.

Introduction

Since the work of Taylor [Ta], it has been an open problem in commutative algebra to describe explicitly minimal free resolutions of monomial ideals. One way in which this problem has classically been approached is to propose a geometric object which models the minimal free resolution $\mathcal{F}$. Originally, simplicial complexes were proposed as a model [BaPeSt]. Some more general geometric objects proposed were CW-complexes and their cellular chain complexes, which were studied by Bayer and Sturmfels [BaSt] and Batzies and Welker [BatWe]. However, Velasco [Ve] has shown that there are monomial ideals with resolutions that are not supported by any CW-complex. Clark and Tchernev [ClTc] introduced the notion of resolutions supported on a poset and showed that for every monomial ideal I there is a poset P that supports $\mathcal{F}$. While there are many possible choices for such a poset, P, a consequence of Velasco's examples [Ve] is that, in general, P can not be a face poset of a CW-complex. A natural question that arises is to find conditions that allow us to find a CW-complex whose face poset supports $\mathcal{F}$.

In the main result of this paper, Theorem 3.1, we show that if I has a minimal free resolution $\mathcal{F}$ supported over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$ on a CW-complex X with regular 2-skeleton, then there is a CW-complex Y that also supports $\mathcal{F}$, and such that the face poset $P(Y)$ of Y over k supports $\mathcal{F}$ as well.

The structure of this paper is as follows. Section 1 outlines the preliminary information necessary for this paper. In Section 2, we discuss deformations of CW-complexes. In Section 3, we examine properties of CW-complexes that are homologically isomorphic. In Section 4 we state and prove the main result of the paper.

I would like to thank both Alexandre Tchernev and Marco Varisco for their helpful discussions and insights.

1. Preliminaries

Fix the following notation: let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and let $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring with the standard $\mathbb{Z}^n$-grading. Let

$$I = (m_1, \ldots, m_q) \subseteq R$$

be a monomial ideal of R, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the minimal free resolution of I:

$$\mathcal{F} : 0 \longleftarrow F_0 \xleftarrow{\phi_1} F_1 \longleftarrow \cdots \longleftarrow F_{i-1} \xleftarrow{\phi_i} F_i \longleftarrow \cdots \longleftarrow F_n \longleftarrow 0,$$

where each F_i is a free $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded R-module, i.e., $F_i = \bigoplus R(-\alpha)^{\beta_{i,\alpha}}$ for each i and the differentials ϕ_i preserve degrees.

Let X be a CW-complex. The cellular chain complex of X is the complex $C(X;k)$ of relative homology groups

$$\ldots \longrightarrow H_n(X^n, X^{n-1}; k) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} H_{n-1}(X^{n-1}, X^{n-2}; k) \longrightarrow \ldots$$
$$\ldots \longrightarrow H_1(X^1, X^0; k) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} H_0(X^0, X^{-1}; k) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where X^{-1} is the empty set and X^n is the n-skeleton of the CW-complex X. Let $\partial_0 : H_0(X^0, X^{-1}; k) \longrightarrow coker(\partial_1)$ be the canonical projection.

Remark 1.1. Unless otherwise stated, we will take the basis of $H_i(X^i, X^{i-1}; k)$ to be the set B_i of classes of characteristic maps $\Phi : D^i \longrightarrow X^i$ of i-cells of X, and we set $B = \coprod_{i \geq 0} B_i$. We will call B the *standard* basis of $C(X;k)$.

Using these bases, for each $i \geq 1$ we can write the map ∂_i as

$$\partial_i(\sigma) = \sum_{\tau \in B_{i-1}} [\sigma : \tau]\tau$$

where $[\sigma : \tau]$ is called the *incidence coefficient* of the cells σ and τ over the field k.

Consider B ordered as follows: for σ an n-cell and for τ an $(n-1)$-cell, we will say that $\tau \lessdot \sigma \iff [\sigma : \tau] \neq 0 \in k$. This leads to a poset, where we define

$$\gamma < \sigma \iff \exists \gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_m$$

with

$$\gamma = \gamma_0 \lessdot \gamma_1 \lessdot \ldots \lessdot \gamma_m = \sigma$$

which we will call the *incidence poset* or *face poset* over k of the CW-complex X over the field k, and will denote it with P, or $P(X)$ or $P(X;k)$ if the context requires more clarity. We say that X is a *graded* CW-complex if there is a map $mdeg : P(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m$ which is order preserving. Recall [BaSt, BatWe] that $\mathcal{F}$ is *supported on a CW-complex* if there exists a graded CW-complex X that satisifies the following properties:

(1) For each i, there is a bijection η between the basis of F_i and the i-cells of X.
(2) For each basis element σ of F_i, we have that $deg(\sigma) = mdeg(\eta(\sigma))$.
(3) $\phi_i(\sigma) = \sum_\tau [\eta(\sigma) : \eta(\tau)] x^{mdeg(\eta(\sigma)) - mdeg(\eta(\tau))} \tau$.

We also recall the following notions from [ClTc]. Let $\mathscr{G}$ be an acyclic chain complex of free R-modules G_n. For each i let A_i be any basis of G_i, and let $A = \coprod A_i$. Let $z = \sum_{\tau \in A_i} c_\tau \tau$ be an element of G_i. The *support* of z with respect to the basis A is the set

$$supp_A(z) = \{\tau \in A_i : c_\tau \neq 0\}.$$

When $z \in G_i$, we say z is of *minimal support* if it is the case that $z \in im(\partial_{i+1})$ and there is no $0 \neq y \in ker(\partial_i)$ such that $supp_A(y) \subsetneq supp_A(z)$. When $z \in G_i$ with $i \geq 1$, $supp_A(\partial_i(z))$ is called the *boundary support* of the element z. The basis A is called a *basis of minimal (boundary) support* if for each $i \geq 1$ and for each $z \in A_i$, the element $\partial_i(z) \in G_{i-1}$ is of minimal support relative to the basis A.

The main result of this paper will deal with monomial ideals I for which $\mathcal{F}$ is *supported on a poset*. For the full definition of resolutions supported on a poset, we refer the reader to [ClTc, Definition 2.6]. We also need the definition of the incidence poset.

Definition 1.2. [ClTc, Definition 4.1] Suppose that $\mathscr{G}$ is a chain complex of free R-modules G_n. Let A_n be the basis of G_n. Denote by $P(\mathscr{G}, A)$ the poset structure on $A = \coprod_{n\geq 0} A_n$ with ordering given by transitivity applied to the covers: for $x \in A_n$ and $y \in A_{n-1}$, we will have that $y \lessdot x$ if and only if $[x : y] \neq 0 \in k$, where $\partial_n(x) = \sum_{z\in A_{n-1}}[x : z]z$. Then we will call $P(\mathscr{G}, A)$ the *incidence poset of* $\mathscr{G}$ over k with respect to the basis A.

We will need the following sufficient condition for when $\mathcal{F}$ is supported on a poset.

Theorem 1.3. [ClTc, Theorem 4.7] *Let k be a field, let $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over k, let I be a monomial ideal in R, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a minimal $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded free resolution of I over R. Suppose that A is a homogenous basis of $\mathcal{F}$ with minimal support, and let $deg : P(\mathcal{F}, A) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the map that assigns to each element of A its $\mathbb{Z}^n$-degree as an element of $\mathcal{F}$.*

Then deg is a morphism of posets, and $P(\mathcal{F}, A)$ supports $\mathcal{F}$.

It is in the context of Theorem 1.3 that we state our main result, Theorem 3.1.

2. Homology isomorphisms between CW-complexes

In this section, we establish a convenient fact that allows us to select another CW-complex supporting $\mathcal{F}$ with a different selection of attaching maps. When we say that a CW-complex X is *regular*, we mean that the closure of every cell is homeomorphic to a closed ball of the same dimension. For more on this definition, we refer to [Ha01, p. 534].

Recall that a continuous map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ between CW-complexes X and Y is called *cellular* if $f(X^n) \subseteq Y^n$, that is, f carries the n-skeleton of X onto that of Y [Ha01, p. 348-349].

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be an n-dimensional connected CW-complex with $n \geq 3$. Suppose there are l cells of dimension n. For each n-cell σ_j of X, suppose $F_j : (D^n, S^{n-1}) \longrightarrow (X^n, X^{n-1})$ is the characteristic map for this cell. Suppose we are given a $(n-1)$-dimensional CW-complex Y^{n-1} together with a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ in $GL_l(\mathbb{Z})$ and a cellular map $\Phi_{n-1} : X^{n-1} \longrightarrow Y^{n-1}$ Then there exists an n-dimensional CW-complex Y obtained from Y^{n-1} by attaching l cells of dimension n, with characteristic maps $G_i : (D^n, S^{n-1}) \longrightarrow (Y^n, Y^{n-1})$, and a cellular map $\Phi_n : X^n \longrightarrow Y^n$ which extends Φ_{n-1} and satisfies*

$$[G_i] = \sum_j a_{ij}[\Phi_n \circ F_j] \in \pi_n(Y^n, Y^{n-1}).$$

Proof. Pick a basepoint $z \in X$. By [Ha01, Proposition 0.18, p. 16], if ϕ and ψ are homotopic attaching maps for an n-cell, then the spaces obtained by attaching a

cell along those maps are homotopy equivalent. That is to say, if

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D^n & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & X(\phi) \end{array}$$

is a pushout diagram for attaching an n-cell to a space Z, then the fact that ϕ is homotopic to ψ implies that $X(\phi)$ is homotopy equivalent $X(\psi)$. As a result of this, we may assume, since X is connected, that the maps F_j are already based maps. For based maps $f, g : S^{n-1} \longrightarrow Z$, the definition of the map $f + g : S^{n-1} \longrightarrow Z$ is given in [Ha01, p. 340].

Let $F_j|_{S^{n-1}} = f_j$, let $g_i = \sum_j a_{ij}(\Phi_{n-1} \circ f_j)$, and let Y be obtained by attaching n-cells to Y^{n-1} along the maps g_i. For each i, one has in $\pi_{n-1}(Y^{n-1})$ that

$$[g_i] = \sum_j a_{ij}[\Phi_{n-1} \circ f_j].$$

Now, let $G_i : D^n \longrightarrow Y$ be the characteristic map for the n-cells of Y, thus

$$G_i|_{S^{n-1}} = g_i = \sum_j a_{ij}(\phi_{n-1} \circ f_j).$$

To show the existence of a cellular map $\Phi : X \longrightarrow Y$, let $A^{-1} = (b_{ij})$ and let

$$H_j = \sum_i b_{ij} G_i.$$

Therefore $H_j|_{S^{n-1}} = h_j = \sum_j b_{ij} g_i$ and so in $\pi_{n-1}(Y^{n-1})$ we get

$$[h_j] = \sum_i b_{ij}[g_j] = \sum_i b_{ij} \sum_k a_{ik}[\Phi_{n-1} \circ f_k] = [\Phi_{n-1} \circ f_j].$$

By the homotopy extension property, there exists $H'_i : (D^n, S^{n-1}) \longrightarrow (Y^n, Y^{n-1})$ such that $[H_i] = [H'_i]$ in $\pi_n(Y^n, Y^{n-1})$ and $H'_i|_{S^{n-1}} = \Phi_{n-1} \circ f_j$. By the universal property of pushouts, there exists $\Phi_n : X^n \longrightarrow Y^n$ that makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \coprod S^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\coprod f_j} & X^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{n-1}} & Y^{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \coprod D^n & \xrightarrow{\coprod F_j} & X^n & \xrightarrow{\Phi_n} & Y^n \\ \| & & & & \| \\ \coprod D^n & \xrightarrow{\coprod H'_j} & Y^n & = & Y^n \end{array}$$

commute. Finally we get in $\pi_n(Y^n, Y^{n-1})$ the equality

$$[G_i] = \sum_j a_{ij}[H_j] = \sum_j a_{ij}[H'_j] = \sum_j a_{ij}[\Phi_n \circ F_j]$$

which completes the proof. □

3. Main Results

In this section, we state and prove the main result of the paper:

Theorem 3.1. *Let I be a monomial ideal in a polynomial ring $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, where k is a fixed field of characteristic $p > 0$, and let X be a CW-complex with regular 2-skeleton such that X supports the minimal free resolution $\mathcal{F}$ of I. Then there exists a CW-complex Y such that Y supports $\mathcal{F}$, and the incidence poset $P(Y)$ of Y over k supports $\mathcal{F}$ in the sense of* [ClTc].

Before we prove this, we will need the following consequence of Lemma 3.5 and its proof from [ClTc] about resolutions supported on posets. For details about the proof we refer the reader there.

Lemma 3.2. [ClTc, Lemma 3.5 and its proof] *Suppose $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ is a polynomial ring over a field k with the standard $\mathbb{Z}^m$-grading, and $\mathcal{F}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^m$-graded free resolution of a torsion-free $\mathbb{Z}^m$-graded R-module M. Let C_0 be any basis of F_0 consisting of homogenous elements, and for $i = 1, 2$ let C_i be a homogeneous basis of minimal support for F_i.*

Then $\mathcal{F}$ has a basis with minimal support A consisting of homogenous elements, and such that $A_i = C_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Now we come to a lemma that is a key step in the proof of our main theorem.

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be a finite dimensional connected CW-complex. For each i, let $\left\{F_\lambda^i\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda_i}$ be the set of characteristic maps of the i-cells of X. For each $i \geq 3$, let $A_i = (m_{\omega,\lambda}^{(i)})$ be an invertible matrix over $\mathbb{Z}$ of size $|\Lambda_i| = l_i$. Then there exists a finite dimensional connected CW-complex Y and a cellular map $\phi : X \longrightarrow Y$ such that the set of characteristic maps $\left\{G_\lambda^i\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda_i}$ of the i-cells of Y satisfies*

$$\left[G_\omega^i\right] = \sum m_{\omega,\lambda} \ \left[\phi_\circ F_\lambda^i\right] \in \pi_i(Y^i, Y^{i-1})$$

for $i \geq 3$.

Proof. We will construct a CW-complex Y^n inductively as follows. Let $Y^i = X^i$ and let $\phi_i = id_{X^i}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. Now we proceed by induction. Assuming for $n \geq 3$ that we have defined Y^{n-1}, we seek to define the CW-complex Y^n. Given the matrix $A_n = (m_{i,j}^{(n)})$, we apply Lemma 2.1 to obtain Y^n and the map $\phi_n : X^n \longrightarrow Y^n$ with the desired properties. □

We will also need the following immediate consequence of [ClTc, Theorem 4.5].

Lemma 3.4. *Let X be a regular 2-dimensional CW-complex. Then the standard basis of $\widetilde{C}(X; k)$ is a basis of minimal support.*

Finally, we need the following:

Corollary 3.5. *Let $k = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ with $p > 0$ prime, let X be a CW-complex with regular 2-skeleton, and let*

$$C(X; k) : \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_3} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

be the cellular chain complex for X over k. Let E be a basis of minimal support of $C(X; k)$ such that E_i is the standard basis of C_i for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Then there exists a CW-complex Y and a cellular map $\phi : X \longrightarrow Y$ such that $\phi_* : C(X; k) \longrightarrow C(Y; k)$ maps E onto the standard basis given by the classes of the characteristic maps of Y.

Proof. For each $i \geq 3$ the matrix $\overline{M_i}$ that carries the standard basis B_i of C_i onto E_i is invertible over k. It is well known, see, e.g. [Co07, Lemma 6.3.10, p. 347], that the natural map $GL_l(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow GL_l(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is a surjection, and let $M_i \in GL_l(\mathbb{Z})$. be a matrix that maps modulo p to the matrix $\overline{M_i}$.

Let $A_i = M_i^{-1}$. Now apply Theorem 3.3 to X and the matrices A_i, producing a CW-complex Y and a cellular map $\Phi : X \longrightarrow Y$ as desired. □

With all this, we can now prove our main result:

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Since X supports $\mathcal{F}$, we may assume that $k = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 3.4, the standard homogeneous basis of $\mathcal{F}$ coming from the cells of dimension less than or equal to 2 is of minimal support, and by Lemma 3.2 this extends to a homogeneous basis A of minimal support for $\mathcal{F}$. By Theorem 1.3, the incidence poset $P(\mathcal{F}, A)$ supports $\mathcal{F}$. Dehomogenizing A yields a basis E of minimal support for $C(X; k)$. By Corollary 3.5 we get Y with a face poset $P(Y)$ over k that coincides with the poset $P(\mathcal{F}, A)$. □

References

[]BaSt D. Bayer and B. Sturmfels, *Cellular resolutions of monomial modules*, J. Reine Agnew. Math. **502** (1998), 123-140.

[]BaPeSt D. Bayer, I. Peeva, and B. Sturmfels, *Monomial resolutions*, Math. Res. Lett. **5** (1998), no. 1–2, 31-46.

[]BatWe E. Batzies and V. Welker, *Discrete Morse theory for cellular resolutions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **543** (2002), 147–168.

[]Bj A. Bjorner, *Posets, regular CW-complexes and Bruhat order*, European J. Combin. **5** (1984), 7-16.

[]Cl T. B. P. Clark, *Poset resolution and lattice-linear monomial ideals*, J. Algebra **323 (4)** (2010), 899-919.

[]ClTc T. B. P. Clark and A. Tchernev, *Minimal free resolutions of monomial ideals are supported on posets*, preprint, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.01962`.

[]Co07 H. Cohen *Number Theory Volume 1: Tools and Diophantine Equations*, Graduate Texts in Math. **239**, Springer-Verlag, New York, New York 2007.

[]Ha01 A. Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, 2001.

[]Ta D. Taylor, *Ideals generated by monomials in an R-sequence*, Ph.D. thesis, The University of Chicago (1966).

[]Ve M. Velasco, *Minimal free resolutions that are not supported by a CW-complex*, J. Algebra **319 (1)** (2008), 102-114.

Department of Mathematics, University at Albany, SUNY, Albany, NY 12222
E-mail address: `dwood@albany.edu`